

①

**Masser, Michelle**

---

**From:** Lashway, Lisa  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 05, 2013 9:51 AM  
**To:** Canning, Sean; Masser, Michelle  
**Subject:** FW: Sample Resolution Opposing OPMA Amendments

Lisa Lashway  
Mt. Olive Twp. Clerk  
973-691-0900 Ext. 7291  
FAX 973-691-2080  
PO Box 450  
Budd Lake, NJ 07828

---

**From:** MCANJ Web Committee [mailto:admin@njclerks.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 05, 2013 9:39 AM  
**To:** Lashway, Lisa  
**Subject:** Sample Resolution Opposing OPMA Amendments

Please [click here for a sample Resolution](#) opposing amendments to the Open Public Meetings Act. The Senate is expected to take action on these amendments this Thursday.

Click [here to unsubscribe](#) | Powered by [QNotify](#) a product of QScend Technologies, Inc.

**RESOLUTION OPPOSING SENATE BILL 2511 AMENDMENTS TO OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT**

**WHEREAS**, legislation has been introduced to reform and modernize the Open Public Meetings Act (S-2511); and

**WHEREAS**, the governing body of the (*insert municipal name*) agrees with and supports the statement that “the right of the public to be present at all meetings of public bodies, and to witness in full detail all phases of the deliberation, policy formulation, and decision making of public bodies, is vital to the enhancement and proper functioning of the democratic process”; and

**WHEREAS**, the changes, however, proposed in S-2511 will not only be a cost driver for local and State government but make government less effective; and

**WHEREAS**, S-2511 includes a number of proposed requirements which involve costly unfunded mandates, impractical requirements and impediments to the democratic process; and

**WHEREAS**, S-2511 creates a new definition of subcommittees that expands subcommittees to be overly inclusive; and

**WHEREAS**, subcommittees would be required to provide public notice of subcommittee meetings, if the governing body determines them to be open; and

**WHEREAS**, subcommittees would be required to submit at least one report to the governing body detailing the number of meetings, names of members of the committee and a concise statement of the matters discussed. The governing body would be required to establish a schedule of when the subcommittee reports shall be filed; and

**WHEREAS**, subcommittees do not commit the governing body to action or expend public funds; and

**WHEREAS**, the requirements for subcommittees meetings would, among other things, necessitate additional administrative support for all subcommittees as well as increased legal advertising cost; and

**WHEREAS**, the new requirement that agendas provide a description of all agenda items, including the names of parties to and approximate dollar amounts of any contracts to be acted upon, will delay the award of contracts and could lead to the loss of grant monies; and

**WHEREAS**, the new requirement that the governing body may act upon an item brought up by a citizen at a public meeting if it was not published as an agenda item only if: (1) there is a vote of 2/3 of the members present to proceed, (2) the municipality demonstrates that it is in the public’s best interest and includes the reasons why it is in the public best interest in the minutes; is impractical, ineffective and unnecessarily inhibits the operations of municipal government and runs contrary to the time honored tradition of holding a public meeting for the very purpose of soliciting such input and acting upon it; and

**WHEREAS**, the new requirement that electronic communications, such as e-mails and text messages, concerning public business among an effective majority of the members that occurred prior to a meeting become part of the minutes and renders the recordings a permanent municipal record, is unworkable and unmanageable as the technology does not always exist to make “hard copies” or

digital copies of text messages and the records custodian does not always have access to them, and which is an unprecedented expansion of the meeting concept; and

**WHEREAS**, the new requirement that comprehensive minutes must include each member's stated reason for their actions or vote, the identity of each member of the public who spoke, and summary of what was said, be made available to the public as soon as possible but no later than 60 days after the meeting will not only be costly but the historical value of minutes will be lost in order to meet an arbitrary deadline; and

**WHEREAS**, the new requirement that the public be allowed to speak for a minimum of three minutes, at the start of the public meeting, without the ability of the public body to limit the length of the public comment could disrupt public meetings, lead to filibustering and prevent the governing body from conducting business; and

**WHEREAS**, the provisions of S-2511 place financial, time, manpower and other burdens on municipalities at a time when municipalities are forced to layoff municipal employees, impose furloughs and reduce departmental budgets so that municipalities can meet the strict CAP requirements with decreased revenues and increasing operating expense; and

**WHEREAS**, the totality of the new requirements of S-2511 will be a significant cost driver for local and State government with no known appropriation contemplated or any alternate means to offset these costs, such a reasonable increase in fees; and

**WHEREAS**, the provisions of S-2511 continue to exempt the Legislature from the requirements placed on municipalities; and

**WHEREAS**, while we appreciate Senator Weinberg's efforts to address our concerns, we must continue to oppose the amendments to the Open Public Meeting Act as the changes proposed in S-2511 will not only be a cost driver for local and State government but make government less effective;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE** *(insert name of municipality)* for reasons stated above, does hereby oppose S-2511, as currently drafted, and strongly urges the State Senate and Assembly to oppose these bills, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the governing body of the *(insert name of municipality)* does hereby strongly urge the Legislature, in the interest of transparency and openness, to remove the various exceptions in the Open Public Meetings Law that apply to the Legislature. The rules that the legislation makes applicable to other governmental bodies should apply equally to all governmental levels and officials; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that a copy of this duly adopted resolution be forwarded to Senate President Stephen Sweeney, Senator Loretta Weinberg, Assembly Speaker Shelia Oliver, Assemblyman Gordon Johnson, the legislators of the *(insert municipality's )* State Legislative Districts, Governor Chris Christie, the New Jersey State League of Municipalities and the Municipal Clerks' Association of New Jersey.