

2


cc: Admin
Eng.
Plan



February 14, 2013

Via Federal Express

Bureau of Case Assignment & Initial Notice
Site Remediation Program
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
401 East State Street
401-05H
P.O. Box 420
Trenton, NJ 08625-0420



**Re: Givaudan Fragrances Corporation, 400 International Drive, Mount Olive, NJ
PI: 014339 / Incident No.: 12-01-20-1640-31 / ISRA Case E20120013
Receptor Evaluation Form**

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed, please find a completed Receptor Evaluation Form for the above-referenced site. This is being submitted in hard copy and on diskette, with GIS-compatible figures. A hard copy with original signatures will follow in a few days.

If you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact Tavia Rutledge at 973-286-4265 or trutledge@environcorp.com.

Sincerely,

Tavia Rutledge
Senior Manager

Attachment

- cc: Jeffrey G. Entin, LSRP – ENVIRON (electronic)
- David Schuster – Givaudan (electronic)
- Jim Zangaro, Esq. – Givaudan (electronic)
- William Hatfield, Esq. – Gibbons, P.C. (electronic)
- Harry Clayton, Esq. - Gibbons, P.C. (electronic)
- Mount Olive Township Clerk (hard copy only)
- Mount Olive Township Health Department (hard copy only)

Attachment
Receptor Evaluation Form



**New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
Site Remediation Program**

RECEPTOR EVALUATION (RE) FORM

Date Stamp
(For Department use only)

SECTION A. SITE NAME AND LOCATION

Site Name: Givaudan Fragrances Corporation

List all AKAs: _____

Street Address: 400 International Drive

Municipality: Mount Olive (Township, Borough or City)

County: Morris Zip Code: 07828

Program Interest (PI) Number(s): 014339 Case Tracking Number(s): ISRA #E20120013

Indicate the type of submission:

Initial RE Submission

Updated RE Submission

Indicate the reason for submission of an updated RE form

Submission of an Immediate Environmental Concern (IEC) source control report;

Submission of a Remedial Investigation Report;

Submission of a Remedial Action Report;

Check if included in updated RE

The known concentration or extent of contamination in any medium has increased;

A new AOC has been identified;

A new receptor is identified;

A new exposure pathway has been identified.

SECTION B. ON SITE AND SURROUNDING PROPERTY USE

1. Identify any sensitive populations/uses that are currently on-site or surrounding property usage within 200 feet of the site boundary (check all that apply):

	On-site	Off-site
None of the following	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Residences or residential property	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public or Private Schools grades K-12.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Child care centers.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Public parks, playgrounds or other recreation areas.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other sensitive population use(s) Explain _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If any of the above applies, attach a list of addresses, facility names, type of use, and a map depicting each location relative to the site.

See Figure 1 and Attachment 1 - Table B-1 attached.

2. Current site uses (check all that apply):

- | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Industrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Residential | <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial | <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural |
| <input type="checkbox"/> School or child care | <input type="checkbox"/> Government | <input type="checkbox"/> Park or recreational use | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Vacant | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ | | |

3. Planned future site uses and off-site use within 200 ft of site boundary (check all that apply):

- | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Industrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Residential | <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial | <input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School or child care | <input type="checkbox"/> Government | <input type="checkbox"/> Park or recreational use | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Vacant | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ | | |

Provide a map depicting the location of the proposed changes in land use. No proposed changes in land use.

SECTION C. DESCRIPTION OF CONTAMINATION None

1. Identify if any of the following exist at the site (check all that apply):
- Free product [N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1.8] identified is LNAPL* or DNAPL**. Date identified: _____
 - Residual product [N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1.8]
 - Other high concentration source materials not identified above (e.g., buried drums, containers, unsecured friable asbestos)

Explain: _____

* LNAPL – measured thickness of .01 feet or more

**DNAPL – See US EPA DNAPL Overview

2. Soil Migration Pathway
- Has soil contamination been delineated to the applicable Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard? N/A - no soils identified above the DCSRS Yes No
- Are all soils either below the applicable Direct Contact Criteria or under an institutional control (i.e. deed notice)? Yes No
3. If this evaluation is submitted with a technical document that includes contaminant summary information, proceed to Section D. Otherwise attach a brief summary of all currently available data and information to be included in the site investigation or remedial investigation report. See Attachment 1, Section C.3

SECTION D. GROUND WATER USE

Contamination believed to be caused by background. Background study is planned.

1. Has the requirement for ground water sampling been triggered? Yes No Unknown
If "No," proceed to Section F. If "Unknown," explain: _____
2. Is Ground water contaminated above the Ground Water Remediation Standards [N.J.A.C.7:9C]? Yes No Unknown
Or Awaiting laboratory data with the expected due date: _____
If "Yes," provide the date that the laboratory data was available and confirmed contamination above the Ground Water Remediation Standards. Date: 05/09/2012
If "Unknown," explain: _____
If "No," or awaiting laboratory data proceed to Section F.
3. Has ground water contamination been delineated to the applicable Remediation Standard? Yes No
4. Has a well search been completed? Yes No
Date of most recent or updated well search: 11/14/2012
Identify if any of the following conditions exist based on the well search [N.J.A.C.7:26E-1.14(a)] (check all that apply):
 Potable wells located within 500 feet from the downgradient edge of the currently known extent of contamination.
 Potable well located 250 feet upgradient or 500 feet side gradient of the currently known extent of contamination.
 Ground water contamination is located within a Tier 1 wellhead protection area (WHPA).
5. Is a completed Well Search Spreadsheet or historical well search table attached and has an electronic copy of the spreadsheet been submitted to srpgis_wrs@dep.state.nj.us. Yes No
If "No," explain: _____
6. Are any private potable or irrigation wells located within ½ mile of the currently known extent of contamination? Yes No
If "Yes," was a door to door survey completed? Yes No
If survey was not completed explain: Contamination is believed to be background and GWQC is not health-based.
7. Has sampling been conducted of potable well(s) and /or non-potable use well(s)? Yes No
If "No," provide justification then proceed to Section E. See Attachment 1, Section D.7
Contamination is believed to be background and GWQC is not health-based.

8 Has contamination been identified in potable well(s) above Ground Water Remediation Standards that is not suspected to be from the site? (If "Yes," provide justification) Yes No

9 Has contamination been identified in potable well(s) that is above the Ground Water Remediation Standards or Federal Drinking Water Standards? Yes No

Provide date laboratory data was received: _____

Or awaiting laboratory data with the expected due date: _____

If "Yes" for potable well contamination **not attributable to background**, follow the IEC Guidance Document at <http://www.nj.gov/dep/srp/guidance/index.html#iec> for required actions and answer the following:

Has an engineered system response action been completed on all receptors? Yes No

Provide a brief narrative description:

Date completed: _____ NJDEP Case Manager: _____

10. Were Non-potable use well(s) sampled and results were above Class II Ground Water Remediation Standards? Yes No

Provide date laboratory data was received: _____

Or awaiting laboratory data with the expected due date: _____

11. Has the ground water use evaluation been completed? Yes No

SECTION E. VAPOR INTRUSION (VI)

1. Contaminants present in ground water exceed the Vapor Intrusion Ground Water Screening Levels that trigger a VI evaluation. (see NJDEP Vapor Intrusion Technical Guidance). ... Yes No Unknown

Or Awaiting laboratory data and the expected due date: _____

Provide the date that the laboratory data was available and confirmed contamination above the Vapor Intrusion Trigger Levels. Date: _____

2. Other existing conditions that trigger a VI evaluation. (see NJDEP Vapor Intrusion Technical Guidance) None

Wet basement or sump containing free product or ground water containing volatile organics

Methane generating conditions causing oxygen deficient or explosion concern

Other human or safety concern from the VI pathway (i.e. elemental mercury, unsaturated contamination, elevated soil gas or indoor vapor (explain):

If you answered "No," or awaiting laboratory data to Question 1., *and* did not check any boxes in Question 2, proceed to Section F, "Ecological Receptors", otherwise complete the rest of this section.

3. Has ground water contamination been delineated to the applicable Ground Water Vapor Screening Level? Yes No

4. Was a site specific screening level, modeling or other alternative approach employed for the VI pathway? Yes No

5. Identify and locate on a scaled map any buildings/sensitive populations that exist within the following distances from ground water contamination with concentrations above the Vapor Intrusion Ground Water Screening Levels or specific threats (check all that apply):

30 feet of petroleum free product or dissolved petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in ground water

100 feet of any non-petroleum free product or any non-petroleum dissolved volatile organic ground water contamination

No buildings exist within the specified distances

6. The vapor intrusion pathway is a concern at or adjacent to the site (if "No," attach justification) Yes No

7. Has soil gas sampling of the building(s) been conducted?..... Yes No N/A
 If "No," or "N/A," proceed to #10
8. Has indoor air sampling been conducted at the identified building(s)? Yes No
 If "No," proceed to #10
9. Has indoor air contamination been identified but not suspected to be from the site?
 (if "Yes," attach justification) Yes No
10. Indoor air results were above the NJDEP's Rapid Action Levels. Yes No
 Provide the date that the laboratory data was available and confirmed contamination above the
 Rapid Action Levels. Date: _____
 Or Awaiting laboratory data with the expected due date: _____
If "Yes" to #8 above, follow the IEC Guidance Document at
<http://www.nj.gov/dep/srp/guidance/index.html#iec> **for required actions.**
 The IEC engineering system response for control was implemented for all
 identified structures Yes No
 Date: _____ NJDEP Case Manager: _____
11. Indoor air sampling was conducted and results were above the NJDEP's Indoor Air Screening
 Levels but at or below the Rapid Action Levels..... Yes No
 Provide the date that the laboratory data was available. Date: _____
 Or Awaiting laboratory data with the expected due date: _____
If "Yes" to #10 above, answer the following:
 Has the Vapor Concern (VC) Response Action Form notifying the NJDEP of the exceedances
 been submitted?..... Yes No
 Date: _____
 Has a plan to mitigate and monitor the exposure been submitted? Yes No
 Date: _____
 Has the Mitigation Response Action Report been submitted? Yes No
 Date: _____
12. Has the vapor intrusion investigation been completed?..... Yes No
 If "No", is the vapor intrusion investigation stepping out as part of the site
 investigation or remedial investigation. (If "No," attach justification) Yes No

SECTION F. ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS

1. Has an Ecological Evaluation (EE) has been conducted? [N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1.16] Yes No
 Date conducted: 06/01/2012 and field survey 12/20/2012
2. Do the results of an EE trigger a remedial investigation of ecological receptors? [N.J.A.C. 7:26E-4.8]. Yes No
3. Has a remedial investigation of ecological receptors been conducted? Yes No
 Date conducted: _____
4. Provide the name(s) of any surface water body on or within 200 feet of the site:
Wills Brook
5. Is free product or residual product located within 100 feet from an ecological receptor?..... Yes No
6. Available data indicate an impact on: Ecological receptor(s) Surface water Sediment **None**
 If this evaluation is submitted with a technical document that includes contaminant summary information, proceed to
 Section G. Otherwise attach a description of the type of contamination and provide a schedule and a description of
 all actions to be taken to mitigate exposure See Attachment 1, Section F.6

SECTION G. PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR CONDUCTING THE REMEDIATION INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATION

Full Legal Name of the Person Responsible for Conducting the Remediation: Givaudan Fragrances Corporation

Representative First Name: David Representative Last Name: Schuster

Title: Director EHS, Flavors and Fragrances, North America

Phone Number: (513) 948-4890 Ext: _____ Fax: _____


Mailing Address: 1199 Edison Drive

City/Town: Cincinnati State: OH Zip Code: 45216

Email Address: david.schuster@givaudan.com

This certification shall be signed by the person responsible for conducting the remediation who is submitting this notification in accordance with Administrative Requirements for the Remediation of Contaminated Sites rule at N.J.A.C. 7:26C-1.5(a).

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein, including all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, to the best of my knowledge, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant civil penalties for knowingly submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information and that I am committing a crime of the fourth degree if I make a written false statement which I do not believe to be true. I am also aware that if I knowingly direct or authorize the violation of any statute, I am personally liable for the penalties.

Signature:  Date: Feb 13, 2013

Name/Title: David Schuster, Director EHS North American F & F No Changes Since Last Submittal

SECTION H. LICENSED SITE REMEDIATION PROFESSIONAL INFORMATION AND STATEMENT

LSRP ID Number: 576442

First Name: Jeffrey

Last Name: Entin

Phone Number: (609) 243-9846

Ext: _____

Fax: (609) 452-0284

Mailing Address: ENVIRON International Corporation 214 Carnegie Center

City/Town: Princeton

State: NJ

Zip Code: 08540

Email Address: jentin@environcorp.com

This statement shall be signed by the LSRP who is submitting this notification in accordance with SRRRA Section 16 d. and Section 30 b.2.

I certify that I am a Licensed Site Remediation Professional authorized pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10C to conduct business in New Jersey. As the Licensed Site Remediation Professional of record for this remediation, I:

[SELECT ONE OR BOTH OF THE FOLLOWING AS APPLICABLE]:

directly oversaw and supervised all of the referenced remediation, and/or

personally reviewed and accepted all of the referenced remediation presented herein.

I believe that the information contained herein, and including all attached documents, is true, accurate and complete.

It is my independent professional judgment and opinion that the remediation conducted at this site, as reflected in this submission to the Department, conforms to, and is consistent with, the remediation requirements in N.J.S.A. 58:10C-14.

My conduct and decisions in this matter were made upon the exercise of reasonable care and diligence, and by applying the knowledge and skill ordinarily exercised by licensed site remediation professionals practicing in good standing, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10C-16, in the State of New Jersey at the time I performed these professional services.

I am aware pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10C-17 that for purposely, knowingly or recklessly submitting false statement, representation or certification in any document or information submitted to the board or Department, etc., that there are significant civil, administrative and criminal penalties, including license revocation or suspension, fines and being punished by imprisonment for conviction of a crime of the third degree.

LSRP Signature: _____

Date: 2/14/2013

LSRP Name/Title: Jeffrey G. Entin / Principal Consultant

No Changes Since Last Submittal

Company Name: ENVIRON International Corporation

Completed forms should be sent to the municipal clerk, designate health department, and:

Bureau of Case Assignment & Initial Notice
Site Remediation Program
NJ Department of Environmental Protection
401-05H
PO Box 420
Trenton, NJ 08625-0420

Attachment 1
Information to Support Receptor Evaluation Form

Form Section B.1

Identify any sensitive populations/uses that are currently on-site or surrounding property usage within 200 feet of the site boundary, and attach a list of addresses, facility names, type of use, and a map depicting each location relative to the site.

Figure 1 (attached) presents the land use map. There is one sensitive population/use, as presented on Table B.1 below:

Table B.1: Sensitive Properties within 200 feet of the Site				
Map ID	Block	Lot	Address	Name
1	103	2.01	150 Clark Drive Mount Olive, New Jersey	Bright Horizons Children's Center

Form Section B.3

Indicate the planned future site uses and off-site use within 200 feet of the site boundary; provide a map depicting the location of the proposed changes in land use.

There are no known proposed changes in land use for the site or properties within 200 feet of the site boundary.

Form Section C.3

If this evaluation is submitted with a technical document that includes contaminant summary information, proceed to Section D. Otherwise attach a brief summary of all currently available data and information to be included in the site investigation or remedial investigation report.

The site is currently the subject of an Industrial Site Recovery Act (ISRA) investigation that was triggered by the cessation of Givaudan's operations and the sale of the property. A preliminary assessment (PA) was initiated at the site in November 2011 and identified several potential areas of concern (AOCs) pursuant to the New Jersey Technical Requirements for Site Remediation (TRSR; N.J.A.C. 7:26E) and applicable New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP; the "Department") Technical Guidance. In December 2011, a site investigation (SI) was conducted at the site, to evaluate the potential AOCs for which an SI was required.

The soil samples collected as part of the SI did not contain target contaminants at concentrations that exceeded the residential or nonresidential direct contact soil remediation standards (SRS); however, aluminum and manganese were detected in certain soil samples at concentrations that exceeded the Impact to Groundwater Screening Levels (IGWSLs). Additionally, soil samples collected by others as part of the buyer's transactional due diligence identified aluminum and manganese and, at certain isolated locations, beryllium

and nickel, at concentrations greater than the IGWSSLs. The presence of these metals in site soils is believed to be representative of background conditions and unrelated to site operations. The range of concentrations detected above the IGWSSLs is presented below:

Table C.3 Range of Detected Concentrations Above Applicable Criteria		
Parameter	IGWSSL (ppm)	Concentration Range (ppm) Above IGWSSL
Aluminum	3,900	4,100 - 17,900
Beryllium	0.5	0.55 (one location)
Manganese	42	70.2 - 795
Nickel	31	32.9 (one location)

One grab groundwater sample from a temporary well point contained a tentatively identified compound (TIC), salicylic acid, at an estimated concentration of 3,700 ug/L, which exceeded the New Jersey Groundwater Quality Standard (GWQS) of 80 ug/L, presuming the result was eventually confirmed by analysis using NJDEP-acceptable laboratory QA/QC including a calibration study. Permanent monitoring wells were installed at the site in March 2012, to verify the quality of the groundwater, confirm the absence or presence of salicylic acid in the shallow groundwater, and determine inferred groundwater flow direction. Groundwater samples were collected from the three wells in April 2012 and analyzed for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs), TAL metals, ethanol, and salicylic acid. Salicylic acid was not detected in these groundwater samples; however, manganese was detected in all three wells at concentrations ranging from 90 to 14,000 ug/L, exceeding the GWQC of 50 ug/L. The elevated levels of manganese in groundwater are currently believed to be representative of background conditions based on publicly-available regional groundwater data.

Additional remedial investigation of soil and groundwater is being conducted to verify that the metals found in soil and groundwater are representative of background conditions. Salicylic acid has been confirmed to be absent from groundwater based on laboratory-conducted calibration studies and laboratory analysis.

Form Section D.5

Is a completed Well Search Spreadsheet or historical well search table attached and has an electronic copy of the spreadsheet been submitted to srpgis_wrs@dep.state.nj.us?

Appendix 1 to this Attachment presents the completed Well Search Spreadsheet and well search map (Figure 1 of Appendix 1). Additionally, Figure 2 of Appendix 1 shows the Tier 1 Wellhead Protection Areas in the vicinity of the site.

Form Section D.7

If sampling of potable and/or non-potable use wells has not been conducted, provide justification.

Salicylic acid was not detected in groundwater samples collected from permanent monitoring wells, and as such, salicylic acid is not a contaminant of concern (COC) at the site. As explained above for Form Section C.3, elevated levels of manganese in groundwater are believed to result from background contamination. Additionally, the GWQS for manganese is not health-based, and as such, a threat to human health via use wells is not present. ENVIRON is conducting a background investigation to verify that the manganese is the result of natural background conditions or upgradient sources. The results of this background investigation will be discussed in the next technical report submittal.

Form Section F.6

If this ecological evaluation is submitted with a technical document that includes contaminant summary information, proceed to Section G. Otherwise attach a description of the type of contamination and provide a schedule and a description of all actions to be taken to mitigate exposure.

ENVIRON performed an ecological evaluation including the identification of potential environmentally sensitive natural resources (ESNRs), constituents of potential ecological concern (COPECs), and a preliminary evaluation of potentially complete migration pathways, in accordance the TRSR at NJAC 7:26E-1.16 and the Department's August 2011 Ecological Evaluation Technical Guidance (EETG). Available soil and groundwater data were compared to the Department's Table of Ecological Screening Criteria (ESCs). COPECs were identified based on direct comparison to these ESCs. Detected constituents without criteria and non-detect constituents with half detection limits ("half limits") exceeding ESCs were also evaluated.

To identify ESNRs, ENVIRON consulted the NJDEP's GeoWeb interactive mapping application, reviewed the results of a Natural Heritage Database Request, and conducted a field survey on December 20, 2012. Figure 2 presents the habitat types identified on or within an approximate $\frac{1}{4}$ radius of the site boundary. Habitat types are coded by color and identified on the legend. Table F.6 presents information on the habitat types, including size, description, conservation rank, and sensitive species identified within each habitat polygon. Figure 3 presents habitat by Conservation Rank, which is designated by the species of the highest conservation rank identified in that area.

As shown Figures 2 and 3, one ESNR overlapped with the site, and consisted of old field (<25% brush covered) that is mapped as bobcat habitat (Rank 4 for a State Endangered species). Other ESNRs were identified adjacent to or potentially impacted by the site. Wills Brook is located approximately 150 from the site at its closest point. Available information indicates that a portion of the site stormwater drains to a series of catch basins, which drains

to a stormwater detention basin identified as Map ID 4 on Figure 2. Although COPECs in soils were identified, they were either below asphalt pavement or in areas with no migration pathway to the detention basin or other nearby ESNRs, including the old field. Further, based on the results of the Preliminary Assessment and Site Investigation and the field survey for the ecological evaluation conducted on December 20, 2012, the detention basin does not receive runoff from any potentially contaminated areas of concern (AOCs). Therefore, there are no potentially complete migration pathways between AOCs at the site and the old field, the detention basin, or Wills Brook via the surface water migration pathway.

Groundwater analytical data from both temporary well points and permanent monitoring wells were available for review. The permanent monitoring wells were located in areas targeted to confirm what was identified in the temporary well points. Based on the comparison of groundwater samples to the GWQS, salicylic acid and manganese were identified as potentially site-related COPECs in groundwater which would be subject to ecological evaluation, to address the potentially complete migration pathway of contaminated groundwater discharge to surface water in Wills Brook. Other detected constituents without criteria and non-detect constituents with half limits exceeding ESCs are not site-related COPECs, and trace detected levels or minimal detection limit exceedences are not reasonably expected to present any threat to ecological receptors or ESNRs.


Although salicylic acid was detected at one temporary well point, it was not confirmed to be present in groundwater based on samples collected from permanent monitoring wells and analyzed on equipment calibrated to detect salicylic acid. Manganese was detected in temporary well points and permanent monitoring wells at concentrations exceeding the GWQS. Since salicylic acid was not confirmed to be present in groundwater at the site, this compound is not considered to be a COPEC. As Givaudan did not use manganese in its site operations, ENVIRON believes that the elevated levels of manganese in the groundwater are background concentrations. To confirm this, ENVIRON is conducting a background study of groundwater. Manganese appears to be attributable to background conditions and ultimately may not be deemed a COPEC requiring any further investigation.

There are no freshwater surface water ESCs for either salicylic acid or manganese; manganese has a freshwater sediment ESC of 630 ppm. Since salicylic acid has not been detected in groundwater samples collected from any permanent monitoring wells installed at the site, it is not considered a COPEC relative to surface water ESCs at this site. Manganese appears to be attributable to background conditions and ultimately may not be deemed a COPEC requiring any further investigation

Recent remedial investigation activities have included the installation of three additional permanent monitoring wells, an additional round of sampling of all monitoring wells, and a background study of manganese in soil and groundwater. The ecological evaluation screening methodology followed above will continue as more data are obtained. If the

results of additional remedial investigation activities suggest that additional ecological evaluation is warranted, ENVIRON will recommend that such evaluation be performed.

FIGURES



Legend

-  200 Ft Radius
-  Site Boundary
-  Tax Parcels

Landuse

-  Commercial
-  Industrial
-  Vacant

Sensitive Properties within 200 feet of the Site				
Map ID	Block	Lot	Address	Name
1	103	2.01	150 Clark Drive Mount Olive New Jersey	Bright Horizons Children's Center





Legend

-  Site Boundary
-  Streams

Species-Based Habitat

-  ARTIFICIAL LAKES
-  CONIFEROUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)
-  DECIDUOUS BRUSH/SHRUBLAND
-  DECIDUOUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)
-  DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)
-  DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS
-  DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS
-  HERBACEOUS WETLANDS
-  OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)
-  OTHER URBAN OR BUILT-UP LAND
-  RAILROADS
-  STORMWATER BASIN
-  TRANSPORTATION/COMMUNICATIONS/UTILITIES



ENVIRON

DRAFTED BY: MRJ

DATE: 11/26/2012

Figure
2

PROJECT: 28-26973A



Legend

Site Boundary

Streams


Species-Based Habitat

Rank 1

Rank 2

Rank 3

Rank 4



ENVIRON

DRAFTED BY: MRJ

DATE: 11/26/2012

Figure
3

PROJECT: 28-26973A

TABLES

TABLE F-6 - ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE NATURAL RESOURCES
GIVAUDAN FRAGRANCES CORPORATION - MOUNT OLIVE, NJ

Map ID	Land Use Type	Land Use Description	Conservation Rank	Riparian	Forest Core	Grassland Minimum	Size (Acres)	Species Common Name	Species Scientific Name	Species Class	Species Rank	Federally Listed?	New Jersey Status
1	URBAN	TRANSPORTATION/COMMUNICATIONS/UTILITIES	1	Yes	No	No	1.437149	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2	URBAN	TRANSPORTATION/COMMUNICATIONS/UTILITIES	1	Yes	No	No	2.310748	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	URBAN	RAILROADS	1	Yes	No	No	3.018355	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
4	URBAN	STORMWATER BASIN	1	Yes	No	No	2.125805	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
5	URBAN	OTHER URBAN OR BUILT-UP LAND	1	Yes	No	No	12.643758	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
6	URBAN	OTHER URBAN OR BUILT-UP LAND	1	Yes	No	No	0.88728	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
7	URBAN	OTHER URBAN OR BUILT-UP LAND	1	Yes	No	No	0.110225	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
8	URBAN	OTHER URBAN OR BUILT-UP LAND	1	Yes	No	No	0.834429	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
9	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)	4	Yes	No	No	2.893546	Bobcat	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
9	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)	4	Yes	No	No	2.893546	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
10	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	Yes	No	No	1.048639	Veery	Catharus fuscescens	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
10	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	Yes	No	No	1.048639	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
11	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	Yes	No	No	1.793602	Veery	Catharus fuscescens	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
11	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	Yes	No	No	1.793602	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
12	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	No	No	No	0.435285	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
13	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	No	No	No	1.535966	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
14	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	No	No	No	3.807009	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
15	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	No	No	No	2.506475	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
16	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	4	Yes	No	No	4.575372	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
16	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	4	Yes	No	No	4.575372	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
17	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	4	Yes	No	No	1.898694	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
17	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	4	Yes	No	No	1.898694	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
18	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	3	Yes	No	No	0.36196	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
19	FOREST	DECIDUOUS FOREST (>50% CROWN CLOSURE)	1	Yes	No	No	0.258118	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
20	FOREST	CONIFEROUS FOREST (10-50% CROWN CLOSURE)	1	Yes	No	No	0.027647	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
21	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	4	No	No	No	0.078217	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
22	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	4	No	No	No	0.023016	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
23	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	4	No	No	No	3.738259	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
24	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	4	No	No	No	0.38789	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
25	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	4	Yes	No	No	1.056244	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
26	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	4	Yes	No	No	0.109257	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
27	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	4	Yes	No	No	3.110397	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
28	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	1	Yes	No	No	0.265825	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
29	FOREST	OLD FIELD (< 25% BRUSH COVERED)	1	Yes	No	No	2.313817	Suitable Habitat	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
30	FOREST	DECIDUOUS BRUSH/SHRUBLAND	2	No	No	No	0.086733	Veery	Catharus fuscescens	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
31	FOREST	DECIDUOUS BRUSH/SHRUBLAND	4	Yes	No	No	2.019645	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
32	FOREST	DECIDUOUS BRUSH/SHRUBLAND	2	Yes	No	No	0.530785	Veery	Catharus fuscescens	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
33	WATER	ARTIFICIAL LAKES	2	Yes	No	No	4.979002	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
34	WATER	ARTIFICIAL LAKES	2	Yes	No	No	1.920891	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
35	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	No	No	No	0.584568	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
35	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	No	No	No	0.584568	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
36	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	3	No	No	No	1.514505	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
37	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	3	No	No	No	1.116675	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
38	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	3	No	No	No	1.595123	Veery	Catharus fuscescens	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
38	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	3	No	No	No	1.595123	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
39	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	34.790871	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
39	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	34.790871	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
40	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	2.362649	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
40	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	2.362649	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
40	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	2.362649	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
41	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	1.570639	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
41	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	1.570639	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
41	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	1.570639	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
42	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	3	Yes	No	No	5.532421	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
42	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS WOODED WETLANDS	3	Yes	No	No	5.532421	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
43	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	No	No	No	0.507506	Barred Owl	Catharus fuscescens	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
43	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	No	No	No	0.507506	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered

TABLE F.6 - ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE NATURAL RESOURCES
GIVAUDAN FRAGRANCES CORPORATION - MOUNT OLIVE, NJ

Map ID	Land Use Type	Land Use Description	Conservation Rank	Riparian	Forest Core	Grassland Minimum	Size (Acres)	Species Common Name	Species Scientific Name	Species Class	Species Rank	Federally Listed?	New Jersey Status
44	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	1.378938	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
44	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	1.378938	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
44	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	1.378938	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
45	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	0.795454	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
45	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	0.795454	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
46	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	0.795454	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
46	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	9.068442	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
46	WETLANDS	DECIDUOUS SCRUB/SHRUB WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	9.068442	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
47	WETLANDS	HERBACEOUS WETLANDS	4	No	No	No	2.04939	Barred Owl	Strix varia	Aves	3	No	State Threatened
47	WETLANDS	HERBACEOUS WETLANDS	4	No	No	No	2.04939	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern
48	WETLANDS	HERBACEOUS WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	0.998115	Bobcat	Lynx rufus	Mammalia	4	No	State Endangered
48	WETLANDS	HERBACEOUS WETLANDS	4	Yes	No	No	0.998115	Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Aves	2	No	Special Concern

APPENDIX 1

WELL RECEPTOR SURVEY TABLE AND FIGURES

SITE NAME	Givaudan Fragrances Corporation	
SITE STREET ADDRESS	400 International Drive	
SITE COUNTY (select)	Morris	
SITE MUNICIPALITY (select)	Mount Olive Twp	
PROGRAM INTEREST (PI) ID # :	014339	
SOURCE COORDINATE X		430676
SOURCE COORDINATE Y		752625
GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION USED (if any)	NW	
WERE APPLICABLE WELL TYPES FOUND? (Yes/No)	Yes	
IS THIS SUBMISSION AN UPDATE? (Yes/No)	No	
AUTHOR (name of company)	ENVIRON International Corp.	
AUTHOR STREET ADDRESS (include town and zip code)	214 Carnegie Center, Princeton, NJ, 08540	
LSRP LICENSE NUMBER OVERSEEING WORK	576442	
LSRP NAME OVERSEEING WORK	Jeffrey Entin	
PROFESSIONAL WHO PREPARED SUBMISSION	Medha Joshi	
EMAIL CONTACT	mgosavi@environcorp.com	
PHONE CONTACT	(609) 243-9856	

Enter no information
beyond column B

Download_Documer	Permit_Number	Well_Use	Potentially_Potable	Document	Date (permitted/drilled/sealed)	Physical_Address	County	Municipality	Block	Lot	Location_Method	Easling_X
	2500001377	Domestic	Yes	Permit	10/29/1951		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		103	Prop Loc - Hard	430876
	2500003299	Public Non-Community	Yes	Permit	3/10/1954		Morris	Netcong Boro		22	Prop Loc - Hard	433871
	2500004067	Public Non-Community	Yes	Permit	11/28/1954		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		4100	Prop Loc - Hard	429794
	2500005528	Industrial	Yes	Permit	5/2/1956		Morris	Netcong Boro		11203	Prop Loc - Hard	433878
	2500005818	Domestic	Yes	Permit	7/24/1956		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		1	Prop Loc - Hard	431874
	2500007250	Domestic	Yes	Permit	10/25/1957		Morris	Netcong Boro		400	Prop Loc - Hard	427735
	2500010083	Domestic	Yes	Permit	7/10/1961		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		103	Prop Loc - Hard	430876
	2500011059	Domestic	Yes	Permit	12/20/1962		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		400	Prop Loc - Hard	427735
	2500011618	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	10/25/1963		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		106	Prop Loc - Hard	432094.1
	2500012139	Industrial	Yes	Permit	7/7/1964		Sussex	Byram Twp		3	Prop Loc - Hard	430897
	2500013822	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Record	4/1/1971		Sussex	Byram Twp		1.01	Prop Loc - Hard	431048.67
	2500015045	Domestic	Yes	Permit	11/19/1968		Morris	Netcong Boro		18	Prop Loc - Hard	432876
	2500015895	Industrial	Yes	Decommissioning	11/30/2007		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		4105	Prop Loc - Hard	432870
	2500015905	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	4/20/1971		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		102	Prop Loc - Hard	430263.298
	2500016087	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	9/9/1971		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		102	Prop Loc - Hard	429615.786
	2500016265	Domestic	Yes	Permit	3/17/1972		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		102	Prop Loc - Hard	428803
	2500017318	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	1/1/1974		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		102	Prop Loc - Hard	429153.959
	2500018054	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	8/21/1975		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		102	Prop Loc - Hard	428167.57
	2500019770	Domestic	Yes	Permit	1/13/1978		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		400	Prop Loc - Hard	427731
	2500020720	Domestic	Yes	Permit	5/8/1979		Morris	Netcong Boro		17	Prop Loc - Hard	433029.7953
	2500021153	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	12/12/1979		Sussex	Stanhope Boro		11301	Prop Loc - Hard	433455
	2500022910	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	9/12/1983		Morris	Netcong Boro		17	Prop Loc - Hard	433424.16
	2500024226	Domestic	Yes	Permit	9/12/1983		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		102	Prop Loc - Hard	428347
	2500026342	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	7/24/1986		Morris	Netcong Boro		17	Prop Loc - Hard	433430.79
	2500028245	Agric/Hort/Aqua Irrigation	Yes	Permit	1/16/1987		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		4100	Prop Loc - Hard	430353
	2500038977	Agric/Hort/Aqua Irrigation	Yes	Permit	1/16/1987		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		4100	Prop Loc - Hard	433405
	2500038606	Domestic	Yes	Permit	11/6/1987		Morris	Netcong Boro		17	Prop Loc - Hard	432463.0421
	2500030769	Non-Public	Yes	Permit	12/7/1987		Morris	Netcong Boro		11	Prop Loc - Hard	435951
	2500034975	Domestic	Yes	Permit	11/22/1989		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		103	Prop Loc - Hard	430879
	2500037311	Public Non-Community	Yes	Permit	9/14/1990	500 INTERNATIONAL DRIVE	Morris	Mount Olive Twp		107	Prop Loc - Hard	429620.9106
	2500037363	Public Non-Community	Yes	Record	9/25/1990		Sussex	Mount Olive Twp		106	Prop Loc - Hard	431891
	2500043409	Public Non-Community Replacement	Yes	Permit	6/23/1993	500 INTERNATIONAL DRIVE	Morris	Mount Olive Twp		107	Prop Loc - Hard	429620.9106
	2500050911	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	7/8/1997		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		102	Prop Loc - Hard	429241.055
	2500051321	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	4/26/2007		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		103	Prop Loc - Hard	429949.51
	2500068593	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	4/26/2007		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		103	Prop Loc - Hard	430125.47
	2500068594	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Permit	7/27/1955		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		103	Prop Loc - Hard	429976.96
	2600001238	Industrial	Yes	Permit	1/1/1936		Morris	Netcong Boro		27	Prop Loc - Hard	435412
	4500000031	Public Community Water Supply	Yes	Record	1/1/1936		Morris	Mount Olive Twp		106	Prop Loc - Hard	432040.9
	250003385A	Irrigation	Yes	Permit	4/7/1954		Morris	Netcong Boro		18	Prop Loc - Hard	432876

Northing_Y	Distance_(feet)	Depth_(feet)	Capacity(gal/min)	COORD_METHOD	TOP_OPEN_INT	BOT_OPEN_INT	STATIC_LEVEL	STATUS	WELL_SAMPLED?
750147	2248.64173		100	10 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
750139	3549.80164		150	50 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
747417	4989.64327		100	15 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
752872	2704.41664		100	100 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
750144	2391.81193		75	5 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
752887	2453.43506		100	5 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
750147	2248.64173		70	5 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
752887	2453.43506		80	4 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
755574.3	2930.10616		0	0 Other/Unknown					
758242	5169.69612		0	65 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
757917.56	4859.72713		0	0 Other/Unknown					
751457	1961.47982		0	65 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
748826	3998.22733		0	0 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
748784.421	3607.37278		0	0 Other/Unknown					
748699.665	3733.91434		0	0 Other/Unknown					
750152	2623.11614		0	65 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
749888.084	2701.66204		0	0 Other/Unknown					
749548.391	3476.15897		0	0 Other/Unknown					
751470	2598.00136		200	5 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
752061.397	1874.84333		150	10 Other/Unknown					
754408.1	2952.80767		0	0 Other/Unknown					
751995.27	2274.14393		0	0 Other/Unknown					
752076	1832.76633		100	5 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
751962.52	2287.80165		0	0 Other/Unknown					
748023	4368.2034		100	50 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
748015	4964.43905		100	5 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
753458.6238	1592.85921		100	5 Other/Unknown					
752867	4764.57535		0	0 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
751462	937.38973		200	10 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
753836.0746	1184.50198		80	0 Other/Unknown					
756823	3975.89064		118	10 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
753836.0746	1184.50198		150	5 Other/Unknown					
750061.14	2508.62561		0	0 Other/Unknown					
751972.95	472.66537		0	0 Other/Unknown					
751626.15	767.65977		0	0 Other/Unknown					
751914.52	514.49081		0	0 Other/Unknown					
752160	4221.91994		0	20 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					
755375.8	2731.80161		0	0 Other/Unknown					
751457	1961.47982		100	50 Prop Loc - Hard Copy					




Legend

Wells

Use

- Agricultural/Horticultural/Aqua Irrigation
- Domestic
- Industrial
- Non-Public
- Public Community Water Supply
- Public Non-Community; Public Non-Community Replacement

- Approximate Site Boundary
- Half Mile Buffer
- One Mile Buffer
- Municipal Boundary
- County Boundary



WELL SEARCH RESULTS

Givaudan Frangrances Corporation
400 International Drive, Mt. Olive, NJ

Figure
1



Legend

Wells

Use

- Agricultural/Horticultural/Aqua Irrigation
- Domestic
- Industrial
- Non-Public
- Public Community Water Supply
- Public Non-Community; Public Non-Community Replacement

- Tier 1 Wellhead Protection Area
- Approximate Site Boundary
- Half Mile Buffer
- One Mile Buffer
- Municipal Boundary
- County Boundary

